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Diagnostic value of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring and transcranial color Doppler ultrasound combined with carotid artery ultrasonography in cerebral infarction
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Abstract: Objective: To determine the diagnostic value of ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) and transcranial color Doppler ultrasound (TCD) combined with carotid artery ultrasonography (CAU) in patients with cerebral infarction. Methods: Fifty-three patients with cerebral infarction (observation group) admitted in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University from January 2017 to January 2018, and 52 healthy individuals (control group) were enrolled for the study. The blood pressure (BP) parameters of the control and observation groups were measured and compared using ABPM. In addition, the patients with cerebral infarction were examined using three methodological combinations: (A) ABPM and TCD, (B) ABPM and CAU, and (C) ABPM and TCD combined with CAU, and the results were compared. Results: The 24 h mean systolic blood pressure (SBP), the 24 h pulse pressure (PP), the daytime SBP, the night SBP and the night PP were significantly higher in the observation group compared to those in the control group. Among the patients with acute cerebral infarction, the A, B and C methods positively diagnosed 45, 47 and 51 cases, respectively. The detection rate of method C was significantly higher than that of methods A and B. In addition, the sensitivity, specificity, and positive predictive value of method C was also better than the other methods, and those of method B was better than those of method A. The negative predictive value of method A was better than that of B. Finally, there was no difference in the location of cerebral infarction detected by the three diagnostic methods. Conclusion: The use of ABPM and TCD combined with CAU can improve the detection rate and diagnostic accuracy of cerebral infarction, and offer a new method for its clinical diagnosis.
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Introduction

Hypertensive patients are prone to cerebral infarction, which may lead to disability or even death. Therefore, an accurate and sensitive method is urgently needed for its timely diagnosis [1-3]. Previously, the clinical diagnosis of cerebral infarction was mainly done by digital subtraction angiography (DSA), which had good diagnostic value in cerebral artery lumen and wall lesions. However, DSA is an invasive and costly procedure, and can result in trauma to the patients, thereby limiting its use in clinical practice [4, 5]. Advanced imaging technologies like transcranial color Doppler ultrasound (TCD) and carotid artery ultrasonography (CAU) are highly promising in the diagnosis of cerebral infarction, and have been gradually incorporated in recent clinical practice. TCD has no side effects during detection, is highly safe and relatively economical [6, 7]. CAU is mainly used to detect the vascular diameter, plaques, and hemodynamics of the carotid artery. The combination of TCD and CAU can more accurately detect the lesions in intracranial blood vessels, and help patients with cerebral infarction receive timely treatment [8, 9]. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) is a non-invasive method of measuring blood pressure (BP) in real time over a 24-hour period, which compensates for excessive BP variability [10, 11]. Only a few reports are available on the combination of these diagnostic methods in cerebral infarction. The aim of this study was to
compare the diagnostic value of various combinations of ABPM, TCD and CAU in cerebral infarction.

Materials and methods

Patient data

A total of fifty-three patients (23 males and 30 females; average age 40.53±4.54 years) with cerebral infarction who were admitted in Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University from January 2017 to January 2018 were enrolled as the observation group and 52 healthy individuals as the control group (23 males and 30 females; average age 38.43±4.09 years). The patients were diagnosed with acute cerebral infarction by magnetic resonance angiography, and met all the clinical diagnostic criteria [12]. The inclusion criteria of the observation group were acute cerebral infarction diagnosis for the first time and compliance with medical personnel. Patients with mental illness, severe injuries in vital organs such as heart, liver and kidney, and upper gastrointestinal bleeding or ulcers were excluded. All subjects were aware of the clinical protocol and signed informed consent. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Renmin Hospital of Wuhan University.

Diagnostic procedures

CAU: The common carotid artery, external carotid artery and extracranial internal carotid artery were examined by color Doppler ultrasound along the anterior, posterior and lateral directions of the cervical root. The vascular diameter and intimal thickness of the carotid artery were determined, and plaque formation was observed. The angle of the sound beam and the blood flow was set at less than 60°, and the probe frequency of nuclear magnetic frequency conversion was set to 5-10 MHz [13].

ABPM diagnosis: A dynamic blood monitoring device was used to test BP on the patient's upper arm. During daytime (6 a.m.-10 p.m.), measurements are taken every 15 minutes, and in the evening (10 p.m.-6 a.m.) every 30 minutes. The frequency of blood pressure measurements was higher than 70 in a day, and valid value rate was more than 90%. In order to obtain accurate BP values, the patients were instructed not to exercise excessively during the measurement period [14].

TCD diagnosis: Color Doppler ultrasound was used to analyze the blood flow of the anterior cerebral arteries, posterior cerebral arteries, internal carotid artery siphons, middle cerebral arteries, and intracranial vertebrobasilar arteries. The probe position and sampling frame direction were set appropriately. Plethysmography was used to detect MCA hemodynamic information [15].

Observation indices

Primary observation indices were the specificity and sensitivity of the following three different combinations of diagnostic methods: (A) ABPM and TCD, (B) ABPM and CAU, and (C) ABPM and TCD combined with CAU.

Secondary observation indices include BP parameters as measured by ABPM and cerebral infarction localization. The BP parameters were: 24 h mean systolic BP (24 h SBP), the daytime mean diastolic BP (24 h DBP), the 24 h pulse pressure (24 h PP), the day mean systolic BP (dSBP), the daytime mean diastolic BP (dDBP), the daytime pulse pressure (dPP), the night mean systolic BP (nSBP), the night mean diastolic BP (nDBP), the night pulse pressure (nPP), and morning peak blood pressure. The different locations of cerebral infarction were anterior circulation infarction, posterior circulation infarction and watershed infarction.

Evaluation criteria

TCD diagnosis indices for cerebral infarction: pulsation index ≥1.1 and resistance index ≥0.8 [16]. Carotid artery diagnostic indices were: intima-media thickness >0.9 mm, neck vascular peak systolic velocity >120.0 cm/s, and end diastolic velocity <40.0 cm/s [17]. ABPM indices for cerebral infarction were: 24-hour BP range <17.0/10.5 Kpa, the mean value during the day <18.0/11.0 Kpa, and the mean value at night <16.5/9.0 Kpa [18].

Statistical analysis

All data were analyzed with SPSS 19.0 software. Measurement data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation (x±sd), and com-
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Table 1. Demographic and clinical data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Observation group (n=53)</th>
<th>Control group (n=52)</th>
<th>t/χ²</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>23/30</td>
<td>25/27</td>
<td>0.230</td>
<td>0.076</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>40.5±4.54</td>
<td>38.4±4.09</td>
<td>1.303</td>
<td>0.195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking history (case)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.636</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drinking history (case)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>13.36</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension (case)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>34.918</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart disease (case)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>31.428</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nephropathy (case)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>8.653</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exact probability method. P<0.05 is considered statistically significant.

Results

Demographic and clinical data

The demographic characteristics of both groups have been described in the methods section, and summarized in Table 1. No significant difference was seen between the two groups in terms of age and gender. However, smoking history, drinking history, hypertension, heart disease and nephropathy were all significantly higher in the observation group compared to those in the control group (Table 1).

Comparison of arterial BP parameters in patients of two groups

The 24 h SBP, 24 h PP, dSBP, and dPP of the observation group were significantly higher than those of the control group (t=11.453, P=0.001; t=31.340, P=0.001; t=9.625, P=0.001; t=9.230, P=0.001 respectively). Furthermore, the nocturnal BP parameters of nSBP and nPP were also significantly higher in the observation group compared to those in the control group (P<0.05). See Table 2.

Comparison of different diagnostic methods

The combination A diagnosed 45 patients with acute cerebral infarction, 47 patients were diagnosed with B, and 51 patients with C. Therefore, the detection rate of C was significantly higher than that of A and B (χ²=6.360, P=0.012; χ²=4.157, P=0.041) (Figure 1).

Of the 45 positive cases detected by A, 43 were true positive and 2 were false positive,
while of 60 negative cases, 50 were true negative and 10 false negative. Forty-six of the 47 positive cases detected by B were true positive and 1 was false positive, and of the 58 cases diagnosed as negative, 51 were true negative and 7 false negative. All 51 positive cases detected by C were true positive, and 52 of the 54 cases diagnosed as negative were true negative, and 2 were false negative. Therefore, the combination C gave the best results in terms of sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value, negative predictive value, misdiagnosis rate, missed diagnosis rate, and diagnostic coincidence rate (Table 3).

Finally, no significant differences were seen in the diagnostic rate of anterior circulation infarction, posterior circulation infarction and watershed infarction among the three methodological combinations (all P>0.05). See Table 4.

**Table 3. Comparison of different diagnostic methods (%)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic methods</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sensitivity</td>
<td>81.13</td>
<td>86.79</td>
<td>96.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specificity</td>
<td>96.15</td>
<td>98.08</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive predictive value</td>
<td>95.56</td>
<td>97.87</td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative predictive value</td>
<td>96.30</td>
<td>87.93</td>
<td>96.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misdiagnosis rate</td>
<td>3.94</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missed diagnosis rate</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>13.21</td>
<td>3.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diagnostic coincidence rate</td>
<td>88.57</td>
<td>92.38</td>
<td>98.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 4. Comparison of different diagnostic methods for diagnosis location (n, %)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Diagnostic methods</th>
<th>Anterior circulation infarction</th>
<th>Posterior circulation infarction</th>
<th>Watershed infarction</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>20 (37.74)</td>
<td>15 (28.30)</td>
<td>10 (18.87)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>20 (37.74)</td>
<td>18 (33.96)</td>
<td>9 (16.98)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>23 (43.40)</td>
<td>17 (32.08)</td>
<td>11 (20.75)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>χ²</td>
<td>0.432</td>
<td>0.573</td>
<td>0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.854</td>
<td>0.975</td>
<td>0.095</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: A-TCD joint ABPM; B-carotid artery ultrasound combined with ABPM; C-TCD and ABPM combined carotid artery ultrasound.

A healthy person’s 24-hour blood pressure pattern follows a dipper shape, with peak values during the day, dropping to the lowest point at midnight, and then rising again after morning. This physiological variability can effectively protect the heart, brain and other organs [22]. If the nocturnal BP is too high, it leads to abnormal circadian rhythm, which is an important causative factor of cerebral infarction, and other cardiovascular and cerebrovascular diseases [23]. In this study, we found that the 24 h SBP, 24 h PP, dSBP and dPP of patients with cerebral infarction were significantly higher than those of the healthy controls, and the nSBP and nPP values also tended to be higher in the observation group. The non-dipper pattern, reduced circadian rhythm, and the overall increased BP indicated that patients with cerebral infarction were prone to abnormal BP. Real-time BP measurement through ABPM can provide a diagnostic basis for individualized medication and prevent nighttime spike in BP.

We found that combining ABPM, TCD and CAU had the best diagnostic value in acute cerebral infarction. ABPM has been used previously in monitoring BP in patients with cerebral infarction [24]. Gouda et al. showed that ABPM in patients with cerebral infarction helped track the BP-related changes, predict possible cardiovascular and cerebrovascular events, and help formulate the clinical regimens [25]. However, due to the high correlation between BP changes and cerebral infarction, ABPM can also be used for the auxiliary diagnosis of cerebral infarction patients [26]. Consistent with our hypothesis, Kolyviras et al. found that ABPM could predict the occurrence of atherosclerosis in patients, and played an important role in the diagnosis of cerebral infarction caused by atherosclerosis [27]. The combina-
tion of ABPM, TCD, and CAU may even be able to determine the cause of cerebral infarction accurately according to their individual diagnosis. However, we could not draw this conclusion in our study due to the lack of cerebral infarction cases with different causes.

The three diagnostic methods had similar diagnostic abilities for detecting cerebral infarction, such as anterior circulation, posterior circulation, and watershed areas. However, the number of cases positively diagnosed by the combination of ABPM, TCD and CAU was slightly bigger, indicating that both TCD and CAU have a good diagnostic value in cerebral infarction. TCD determines vascular stenosis and brain occlusion through hemorheology, and CAU assesses vascular stenosis mainly through the medial-adventitial spacing and intravascular plaque morphology [28]. Both TCD and CAU are non-invasive since they do not require the intravenous injection of contrast agents, which can improve patient comfort and is particularly suitable for patients with low immunity.

In conclusion, ABPM can accurately measure BP in real time and detect any abnormalities in its circadian rhythm, and the combined use of TCD and CAU can improve the diagnosis rate of cerebral infarction. The combination of all three techniques can be used as an effective and accurate auxiliary diagnostic method for cerebral infarction, and can be incorporated in routine clinical practice. The disadvantage of this study was that neither technique was examined individually for the diagnosis of cerebral infarction.
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