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The effect of enhanced recovery after surgery on lower limb varicose vein patients undergoing endovascular laser therapy
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Abstract: Objective: To assess the effect of enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) in patients with recurrent lower limb varicose veins (LLVV) who underwent endovascular laser therapy (EVLT). Methods: A total of 80 patients with recurrent LLLV receiving EVLT and the perioperative normal nursing mode from October 2016 to October 2017 were placed in the control group, and another 86 patients who underwent EVLT combined with ERAS from December 2017 to December 2018 were placed in the observation group. The swelling degrees of the affected limbs after the operations, the complications rates, the postoperative first ambulation times, the lengths of the postoperative hospital stays, the nursing quality, and the patients’ satisfaction scores were compared between these two groups. Results: The observation group had significantly milder swelling degrees of the affected limbs, lower complication rates, shorter postoperative first ambulation times, shorter lengths of their postoperative hospital stays, higher nursing quality, and higher patient satisfaction scores compared with the control group. The differences were regarded as statistically significant (P < 0.05). Conclusion: ERAS has a good effect in the treatment of recurrent LLLV with EVLT and can promote rapid recovery after surgery and improve nursing quality.
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Introduction

Lower limb varicose veins (LLVV) is a frequently-occurring disease and a potentially morbid disease seen in vascular surgery departments. If the disease progresses, it can lead to skin ulcers, infections, deep venous thrombosis, lower extremity dysfunction, and so on, affecting the quality of life [1-3]. Clinical treatment advocates early surgery, mainly with high ligation, stripping, and muscle flap loops. Although the effect is exact, the trauma is large, the postoperative recovery time is long, and the morbidity is high. Recently, various studies have confirmed that endovascular laser therapy (EVLT) to treat LLLV exhibits higher safety and efficacy, and it has high acceptance rates among patients [4]. While the LLLV success rate is high, there is still a 3.0-15.0% recurrence rate. However, the clinical treatment of recurrent LLLV is more difficult, and the perioperative nursing strategy is of great importance in improving the surgical effect and promoting postoperative rehabilitation [5]. For patients with recurrent LLLV, the quality of the rehabilitation must be higher, the expectation of disease cure is increased, and the nursing quality must be higher. At present, enhanced recovery after surgery (ERAS) is extensively adopted in clinical gastrointestinal surgery. It is mainly based on a combination of psychology, clinical medicine, nursing, and nutrition in addition to specialized, evidence-based medicine, emphasizing the concept of “physical and mental health integration”, and providing patients with a personalized and optimized nursing process, promoting rapid rehabilitation and improving the quality of the rehabilitation [6, 7]. Based on this, the paper intends to assess the effect of ERAS in patients with LLLV who underwent EVLT.

Subjects and methods

Patient clinical data

Eighty patients with recurrent LLLV diagnosed in our hospital from October 2016 to October
2017 were placed in the control group, with EVLT for treatment and the application of the routine nursing mode in the perioperative period. Eighty-six patients with recurrent LLVV who received ERAS from November 2017 to November 2018 were enrolled as the observation group. Inclusion criteria: (1) 18-75 years old. (2) Met the LLVV diagnostic criteria, either with previous purely conservative treatment or traditional surgical treatment. (3) Patients with complete clinical data and who signed an informed consent for the treatment plan. Exclusion criteria: (1) Patients with other lower extremity diseases such as atherosclerosis, arteritis. (2) Patients with lower extremity arteriovenous malformations. (3) Patients with systemic diseases such as diabetes, abnormal cardiopulmonary function. (4) Patients unable to obtain an effective scale score while participating. All the procedures performed in the studies involving human participants were carried out in accordance with the ethical standards of Chun’an First People’s Hospital and with the 1964 Helsinki declaration and its later amendments or comparable ethical standards.

The control group had thirty-five males and forty-five females. Their ages ranged from 55 to 74 years old, with a mean age of (66.5±12.3). Among them, there were thirty left side lesions, thirty right side lesions, twenty bilateral lesions, and fifty who had undergone previous surgical treatments.

The observation group had thirty-nine males and forty-seven females. Their ages ranged 57 to 73 years old, with a mean age of (65.4±13.2). Among them there were thirty-two left side lesions, thirty-two right side lesions, twenty-two bilateral lesions, and fifty-nine who had undergone previous surgical treatments. The baseline data of the two groups indicated they were comparable (P > 0.05).

Research methods

The two groups of patients received care services from the same nursing team. The EVLT was processed according to the standard surgical procedures. The control group adopted the routine nursing mode, including preoperative health education, preoperative preparation. During the operations, the nursing team closely fulfilled the requirements of the operations. Local anesthesia was adopted for the patients during the operation, so they were conscious and needed to relieve their tension and fear promptly. Additional nursing objectives: determining whether a wound was bloody or infected, monitoring vital signs, ensuring a reasonable diet, promoting a combination of exercises and rest. Two to three days after the operations the patients were able to carry out lower limb function exercises.

The observation group adopted ERAS. First, a high-quality nursing team needed to be established. The ERAS procedures and operation standard needed to be mastered skillfully. The ERAS nursing procedures on patients with LLVV undergoing EVLT all came from the comprehensive summary of long-term nursing work done in our department. The nursing measures had a scientific basis and the nurses quickly mastered them. At the same time, the tedious and meaningless operations were abandoned. The department operating standard was presented in the form of a table or a flowchart for convenient assessment. Second, the key nursing was placed on psychological guidance, with correct explanations of the operating principles and procedures in order to reduce the patients’ fear and uneasiness. The different needs of the patients were promptly determined and as far as possible met to promote a harmonious relationship between the doctors and patients. After the operations, elastic socks were routinely used for pressure banding to promote blood circulation. The patients were supine for six hours with pillows, and the affected limb was raised 30-45°. The swelling of the lower extremity and foot were observed. An individualized rehabilitation strategy was established according to the postoperative recovery. A multi-mode analgesia program was adopted after each operation. The lower extremity function exercises were carried out twelve hours after each operation, lasting 10-15 min each time, depending on the patient’s tolerance. The functional exercise was guided the during the bed rest period, such as ankle extensions, metatarsal flexions, knee extensions, and flexion exercises to prevent the formation of deep venous thrombosis, so quality control was reasonably carried out. Nursing quality checks were carried out regularly by the expert quality control group, granting rewards and punishment to increase the work motivation of the responsible nurses. Each patient’s health file
Observation indicators and evaluation criteria

The swelling degrees of the affected limbs, the complication rates, the postoperative first ambulation times, the lengths of the postoperative hospital stays, the nursing quality, and the patients' satisfaction scores after twelve hours and twenty-four hours from the operations were compared between the two groups. The degree of swelling was measured at 10 cm below the patella (the preoperative sizes were measured in the patients with varicose veins of both lower limbs). A thickening < 2 cm was regarded as no swelling, 2-4 cm was regarded as mild, and 4 cm was regarded as severe. The complications included wound infections, delayed healing, swelling aggravations, severe pain, and deep venous thrombosis. The quality of the care was quantitatively graded according to the nursing process and included 10 items, in which failed (1 point), passing (2 points), better (3 points), excellent (4 points) were scored for each item. The higher the total score, the better the quality of the care. The nursing satisfaction was measured using a self-made survey scale, and included service image and awareness (5 items), work ability (5 items), ward management (3 items), health education (5 items), care and communication (2 items), for a total of 5 parts with 20 items, and each item was scored from 1-5 points, so 80-100 points indicated very satisfied, 60-80 points indicated general satisfaction, and less than 60 points indicated unsatisfactory. The validity and reliability coefficients were both greater than 0.85 by small samples.

Results

Comparison of the general patient clinical data

No significant differences existed in the general patient data, including age, disease location, or sex between the two groups (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 1.

The swelling degrees of the affected limbs of the two groups at twelve hours and twenty-four hours after operation

The observation group presented milder swelling degrees in the affected limbs at twelve hours and twenty-four hours after the operations compared with the control group. The differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 2.

Morbidity of the complications in the two groups

The morbidity of the complications in the observation group decreased, and the difference
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**Table 2.** The swelling degrees of the affected limbs in the two groups at twelve hours and twenty-four hours after the operations [case (%)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Case numbers</th>
<th>12 h after operation</th>
<th>24 h after operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>No swelling</td>
<td>Mild</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>32 (40.0)</td>
<td>30 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation Group</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>56 (65.1)</td>
<td>20 (23.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Z</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.617</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 3.** Morbidity of the complications in the two groups [case (%)]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Case numbers</th>
<th>Wound</th>
<th>Delayed healing</th>
<th>Increased swelling</th>
<th>Severe pain</th>
<th>Deep venous thrombosis</th>
<th>Total incidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>18 (22.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation Group</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7 (8.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\chi^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.682</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Figure 1.** Postoperative first ambulation time and postoperative hospital stay in observation group shortened, and the difference was regarded as statistically significant (*P < 0.05, ***P < 0.0001).

was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), as shown in **Table 3**.

**Postoperative first ambulation times and postoperative length of the hospital stays of the two groups**

The postoperative first ambulation times and the lengths of the postoperative hospital stays in the observation group were decreased, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), as shown in **Figure 1**.

**Nursing quality and the patients’ satisfaction scores in the two groups**

The nursing quality and the patients’ satisfaction scores in the observation group were increased, and the differences were statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), as shown in **Figure 2**.

**Patients’ satisfaction distribution**

86.0% of the patients in the observation group were absolutely satisfied, but the value was 71.3% in the control group. The difference was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), but the unsatisfactory rate of the care in the control group was higher than it was in the observation group (11.2% vs. 3.5%). The difference between the two groups was statistically significant ($P < 0.05$), as shown in **Table 4**.

**Discussion**

We found that the observation group presented milder swelling degrees of the affected
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Table 4. Satisfaction distribution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Case numbers</th>
<th>Very satisfied</th>
<th>General</th>
<th>Not satisfied</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Control group</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>57 (71.3)</td>
<td>14 (17.5)</td>
<td>9 (11.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observation Group</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>74 (86.0)</td>
<td>9 (10.5)</td>
<td>3 (3.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t/χ²</td>
<td></td>
<td>6.084</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. The nursing quality and the patients' satisfaction scores in the observation group were increased, and the difference was statistically significant (P<0.05).

Based on the association of multi-disciplinary science and technology, ERAS emphasizes the evidence-based principle, ensuring the scientific nature of the nursing. The ultimate goal is to quickly promote postoperative rehabilitation, reflecting the principle of nursing, in order to alleviate patients' psychological and physiological trauma stress responses and reduce their complications [10, 11]. Patients with recurrent LLVV have higher quality requirements for rehabilitation, higher expectations for disease cure, and higher requirements for quality of care, which requires that the nursing work must be in place [1, 12]. The application of local anesthesia in EVLT operations is beneficial to the early postoperative exercise of lower limb function, avoiding general anesthesia to better affect the recovery of consciousness and gastrointestinal function, and to not affect postoperative eating [13]. A high-quality nursing team is the premise to ensure the smooth implementation of ERAS, requiring that responsible nurses be able to master the ERAS process and operation norms skillfully, forming the department operation norms with tables or flow charts for easy assessment. The use of the most comfortable and convenient nursing program is conducive to relieving the stress response and accelerating patient recovery [14]. Secondly, key nursing requires wholistic psychological guidance, in order to reduce the patient's fear and uneasiness. Negative psychology is an importantly adverse factor affecting postoperative rehabilitation, and the different needs of patients should be promptly understood and met, and the harmony of the doctor-patient relationship needs to be promoted [15]. Positioning should be done correctly, elastic socks are worn to promote blood circulation, the swelling of the lower limbs and feet needs be observed, and individualized rehabilitation strategies need be formulated, etc. [16]. The control of fluid input on the day of and the day after the operation is also the focus of ERAS; blood circulation and blood stasis drugs

...
are adopted, with no more than 500 mL of liquid daily. The inspection is strengthened, the focus is placed on the affected side of the limb feeling the pain, swelling, temperature and color, and so on. The doctor should be informed once an abnormality is found [17]. Quality control should be processed reasonably. The nursing quality checks were carried out regularly by the expert quality control group, granting rewards and punishment to increase the work motivation of the responsible nurses [18, 19]. Each patient’s health file was established, and telephone follow-up was carried out regularly. The healthy activity procedures after discharge were guided, and the patients were reminded of their follow-up visits [20].

To sum up, ERAS has a better application effect in EVLT on LLVV, and it can promote rapid postoperative recovery and improve nursing quality. However, this study has certain limitations. (1) The number of samples collected was small, and the research results may have certain deviations. We will collect more samples to make the research results more accurate. (2) As far as we know, there are few studies on rapid rehabilitation care, the research topics are different in scope, and there are few related studies that compare with this research.
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